3/

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,245	HEPWORTH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Record the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comr GHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course. TH I	
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>1/13/06 amendment b</u>	y Applicant.	·	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-36</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	• •		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been receiv	ed in this national stage application from the	е
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:		•	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		le a reply complying with the requirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	be submitted.	•	
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso	on's Patent Drawing Revie	ew (PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner'sPaper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment	or in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.6 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the			
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 			
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u>=</u>	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date	_	S Amendment/Comment	
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	_	s Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
	9. 🗌 Other	 '	

ALLOWANCE

This action is in response to Applicant's arguments and amendments of 1/13/2006, which have been entered into the electronic file of record.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-36 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claims 1, 10, 19 and 28, these claims have now been amended to recited that, in a data collection device having the various other recited limitations, interpretation is based on a set of data manipulation commands defined in a rules file, wherein

"the data commands are logically structured to implement an input filter, a router, and an output filter."

The prior art neither teaches nor renders obvious the above limitation in the context of the other limitations present in the claims. The examiner had argued that the presence of a 'rules file' dictating how data is interpreted is an extension of well-known concepts of modular programming (i.e. abstract out the interpreter functionality into separate routines for programming clarity). However, this does not render obvious the very particular and specific way that data manipulation commands are structured, as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,245

Art Unit: 2876

The applicant has argued convincingly on page 19 of the 1/13/06 response:

"Claim I has been amended to recite that "the data manipulation commands are logically structured to implement an input filter, a router, and an output filter." ... the general programming concepts of "modular programming and data abstraction" would not suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art that "the data manipulation commands are logically structured to implement an input filter, a router, and an output filter" as recited in amended claim 1."

Claims 4-9, 13-18, 22-27 and 31-36 had previously been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Independent claims 4, 7, 13, 16, 22, 25, 31 and 34 have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Therefore these claims are now allowed, for reasons already of record in the previous Office Action.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/774,245

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A. Hess whose telephone number is (571) 272-2392. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel A Hess

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

4/1/06

DANIEL STCYR
PRIMARY EXAMINER